

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,223 "	07/30/2001	Barry Shantz	7496RC	4950	
27752 7	7590 03/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ER & GAMBLE CO	TRAN, SI	TRAN, SUSAN T		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	20	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/918,223	SHANTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan T. Tran	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,10-13 and 15-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5,10 and 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,11-13,15 and 16 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>18</u> .	6)				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Request for Continued Examination and IDS filed 12/12/03.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance under 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/12/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 10 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homebest Premium Baby Wipes (Homebest).

Homebest discloses a pop-up dispensing system comprising a container having a plurality of wipes (figures 1, 3, 5, 7 & 8). The wipes are pre-moistened and being stacked in a z-fold pattern (figures 5, 6 and 8-10). The wipe having interfold panel such

Art Unit: 1615

as, first panel is approximately 9-10 cm, second panel is from about 5-6 cm, and the third panel is from about 4-5 cm (figure 1 (lines 3-5) & figure 11). The ingredients for the wipes are disclosed in figure 6. It is noted that Homebest does not disclose the concentration of the ingredients, as well as the claimed length of the first panel in 2-7 cm. However, generally, differences in size or concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Applicant desires a dispensing system that provides ease of dispensation (page 4, lines 8-10). Homebest discloses the same zfold interleave wipes in the same dispensing system for the same purpose, namely, the dispensing system lets you easily get a wipe with one hand while safely hold your baby with the other (figure 6). There're no unexpected and/or unusual results have been showed to establish criticality in the length of the first panel of the claimed invention over that of Homebest. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of the first panel with the expectation of providing a dispensing system that is easily accessible with one hand. With regarding to the concentration of the ingredients, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation optimizing the concentration of the ingredients with the expectation of at least similar result, because Homebest discloses a similar

Art Unit: 1615

baby wipe composition, *e.g.*, a soft and strong wipe that is gentle against baby's skin and offers superior performance (figure 6).

Claims Allowable

Claims 2, 3, 11-13, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0606. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached at (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THURWAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600